

Date

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

TITLE: Household waste recycling centre new contract service provision

Version	Author	Description of Changes
1.0	Andrew Dunstone	
2.0	Andrew Dunstone	Addition of no change option in consultation

VERSION CONTROL

2.0	Andrew Dunstone	change option in consultation
3.0	Andrew Dunstone	Additional detail
4.0	Andrew Dunstone	Addressing specific closures

OFFICIAL



CHESHIRE EAST COUNCIL - EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Stage 1 Description: Fact finding (about your policy / service /

Department	Environment and I Services	Neighbourhood	Lead officer resp assessment	onsible for	Andrew Dunsto Waste Contract	-
Service			Other members of team undertaking assessment		State the full title(s) of all person(s) supporting/ completing the assessment.	
Date			Version			
Type of document (mark as appropriate)	Strategy	Plan	Function	Policy	Procedure	Service
Is this a new/ existing/ revision of an existing document (please mark as appropriate)	New Existing		Revision			
Title and subject of the impact assessment (include a brief description of the aims, outcomes, operational issues as appropriate and how it fits in with the wider aims of the organisation)	therefore to give a carried out concern the recycling mark are expected to inc	Household Waste Recycling Centre new contract service provision. The current HWRC contract ends in 2023 and therefore to give ample time to prepare for this a review of the service was carried out. A public consultation was carried out concerning the future shape of the household waste recycling centre (HWRC) contract. The volatility of the recycling market has severely affected the planned income from these materials, and therefore future contracts are expected to incur higher costs.				
Please attach a copy of the strategy/ plan/ function/ policy/ procedure/ service	study showed that and that a reduction	there is a generous on in these numbers	s supply of househol was a viable option	ld waste sites, when co n.	ompared with nati	ional guidelines,
	and a 'no change' lease is ending in a conjunction with ne through measures	option that included 2021. Following the ew measures to pro such as a mobile s	a replacement for (consultation, the re wided fairer access ervice. The recomm	tudy (all of which inclu Congleton household v commendation is to clu to waste disposal serv endation takes into co stimated cost increase	vaste recycling ce ose the Congleton ices in rural areas nsideration result	entre, because the n site in s of the borough s of the

OFFICIAL



Who are the main stakeholders, and have they been engaged with? (e.g. general public, employees, Councillors, partners, specific audiences, residents)	Members, general public, Town and Parish Councils
What consultation method(s) did you use?	Following acceptance at Cabinet a borough wide web-based consultation was commissioned. Due to the ongoing Covid situation the provision of readily available paper copies at our household waste recycling centres was not considered a sensible method of distribution. Similarly, we would usually ensure that all our libraries had copies, but these we closed. In order to ensure their availability, signs were up at each of our sites advertising the consultation and providing a QR code to be scanned – a familiar process for anyone out using the Covid track and trace app. An email address and phone number on the signs was available so that names and addresses could be taken, and a paper copy individually posted. A press statement was released on commencement of the consultation and this should ensure that all local media will pick it up and raise it with their readers. Engagement with the consultation was extensive with over 10,200 responses, of these over 6,000 made comments

Stage 2 Initial Screening	
Who is affected and what evidence have you considered to arrive at this analysis? (This may or may not include the stakeholders listed above)	Residents who are users of our sites. Not replacing Congleton in 2021 will have the greatest impact on those residents served by the site. Evidence of this impact comes from the independent report commissioned to review the HWRC service - Residents will need to travel further to dispose of their recycling/waste. In addition to the time that residents would have to travel to more distant sites, the sites they go to would be busier. The spatial analysis forecasts that Macclesfield will see increased use with an estimated 9% more households visiting it. In addition, an environmental impact assessment has been carried out.
Who is intended to benefit and how?	The presentation of clear information to potential bidders of the new contract will enable them to determine whether this is a contract worth bidding for. The procurement of a good contract will then ensure that all users of our household waste recycling centres will receive a quality, value for money service.
Could there be a different impact or outcome for some groups?	Yes. The option of closure for Congleton will impact all groups that are in the vicinity and the sites that are most likely to receive additional users – Macclesfield and Alsager.
Does it include making decisions based on individual characteristics, needs or circumstances?	No. We feel that this does not affect individual characteristics because all users drive to the sites. In recognition of residents who rely on others to drive, it is expected that the new service provider will explore options to enable mobile units to be deployed.
Are relations between different groups or communities likely to be affected?	Possibly. Depending on the preferred option chosen the communities who may be losing a site could be disappointed that others are not affected in the same way however, all options comply with Waste Resources Action Programme Advice for provision for residents.

OFFICIAL



(e.g. will it favour one particular group or deny opportunities for others?)	
Is there any specific targeted action to promote equality? Is there a history of unequal outcomes (do you have enough evidence to prove otherwise)?	The public consultation will give all residents the opportunity to engage with the council and present their views. Proposals being consulted on all comply with general guidance on acceptable levels of provision for our population numbers and acceptable distance to travel to a household waste recycling centre. The new service provider will be required to show consideration of residents who are in more rural areas or with limited means of transport – this may be in the form of mobile units visiting these areas. Historically, residents without access to a vehicle were unable to use the sites, we are seeking to address this with the new service provider.

Age	Ý	N	Marriage & civil partnership	Y	N	Religion & belief	Y	
Disability	Ý	N	Pregnancy & maternity	Y	Ň	Sex	Y	Ň
Gender reassignment	Y	N	Race	Y	Ň	Sexual orientation	Y	N
What evidence do you have t include as appendices to this			ndings? (quantitative and qualitative) P graphs, tables, charts	lease provide a	dditiona	al information that you wish to	Consu involve carried	ement
							Yes	No
Age			During the consultation 242 responses that would impact their ability to drive centres.					√
Disability			During the consultation 242 respon that would impact their ability to driv centres.					1
			The possible closure of some sites	does not nega	tively in	pact this group, all groups are		
Gender reassignment			equally impacted.					
Gender reassignment Marriage & civil partnership			The possible closure of some sites equally impacted.	does not nega	tively im	pact this group, all groups are		1



Race	The possible closure of some sites does not negatively impact this group, all groups are equally impacted.				
Religion & belief	The possible closure of some sites does not negatively impact this group, all groups are equally impacted.				
Sex	Currently we do not feel that thi	s impacts neg	gatively.		✓
Sexual orientation	The possible closure of some sit equally impacted.	es does not r	negatively impact this	group, all groups are	1
Proceed to full impact assessment? (Please tick)	Yes	No	√	Date	
Lead officer sign off		Date			
Head of service sign off		Date			

If yes, please proceed to Stage 3. If no, please publish the initial screening as part of the suite of documents relating to this issue



Stage 3 Identifying impacts and evidence

This section identifies if there are impacts on equality, diversity and cohesion, what evidence there is to support the conclusion and what further action is needed

Protected	Is the policy (function etc)	Are there any positive	Please rate the impact	Further action
characteristics	Is the policy (function etc) likely to have an adverse impact on any of the groups? Please include evidence (qualitative & quantitative) and consultations	Are there any positive impacts of the policy (function etc) on any of the groups? Please include evidence (qualitative & quantitative) and consultations	Please rate the impact taking into account any measures already in place to reduce the impacts identified High: Significant potential impact; history of complaints; no mitigating measures in place; need for consultation	(only an outline needs to be included here. A full action plan can be included at Section 4) Once you have assessed the impact of a policy/service, it is important to identify options and alternatives to reduce or eliminate any negative impact. Options considered could be adapting the policy
	List what negative impacts were recorded in Stage 1 (Initial Assessment).	List what positive impacts were recorded in Stage 1 (Initial Assessment).	Medium: Some potential impact; some mitigating measures in place, lack of evidence to show effectiveness of measures Low: Little/no identified impacts; heavily legislation-led; limited public facing aspect	or service, changing the way in which it is implemented or introducing balancing measures to reduce any negative impact. When considering each option you should think about how it will reduce any negative impact, how it might impact on other groups and how it might impact on relationships between groups and overall issues around community cohesion. You should clearly demonstrate how you have considered various options and the impact of these. You must have a detailed rationale behind decisions and a justification for those alternatives that have not been accepted.
Age				
Disability				
Gender reassignment				
Marriage & civil partnership				
Pregnancy and maternity				



Race			
Religion & belief			
Sex			
Sexual orientation			
_	e carried out wholly or partly by oth with equality legislation (e.g. tende	 -	-



Stage 4 Review and Conclusion ASSESSMENT

Specific actions to be taken to reduce, justify	How will this be monitored?	Officer responsible	Target date
or remove any adverse impacts			
Please provide details and link to full action plan for actions			
When will this assessment be reviewed?			
Are there any additional assessments that need to be undertaken in relation to this assessment?			
Lead officer sign off	A. Dunstone R. Z. Keny	Date	03/02/21
Head of service sign off	A. T. Kenp	Date	03/02/21

Please publish this completed EIA form on the relevant section of the Cheshire East website